

This ratio helps assess cardiovascular risk by comparing total cholesterol to HDL levels.

Cholesterol-related risks have predominantly been linked to individual lipid measurements, including total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), and non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol (non-HDL-C).¹ For the primary prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), the 2018 guidelines from the American Heart Association (AHA), American College of Cardiology (ACC), and other societies recommend that individuals aged 40 to 75, who do not have diabetes and possess LDL-C levels between 1.81 and 4.89 mmol/L, undergo an initial assessment of absolute ASCVD risk utilizing the Pooled Cohorts Equations. Following this assessment, the evaluation of clinical "risk enhancing factors" and, if applicable, coronary artery calcium may provide further risk stratification.^{2,3}

In contrast, other medical organizations, such as the National Lipid Association (NLA), International Atherosclerosis Society, and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) in conjunction with the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS), advocate for specific LDL-C and non-HDL-C targets based on risk categories. However, the complexity of cholesterol-related risk encompasses various elements, including cholesterol particle concentration, reverse cholesterol transport, and triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRL), among others.^{4,5,6}

The ratio of TC to HDL-C (TC/HDL-C), which can be derived from the standard lipid profile at no additional cost and is closely associated with LDL particle (LDL-P) numbers, has been identified as a significant cardiovascular risk indicator in multiple studies. Recently, our research indicated that discordance between TC/HDL-C and LDL-C or non-HDL-C is prevalent among 1.3 million individuals.⁷ The TC/HDL-C ratio was found to reclassify atheroma progression and major adverse cardiovascular event (MACE) rates in secondary prevention patients when it differed from LDL-C, non-HDL-C, and Apo-B levels. Despite this, while Apo-B is recognized as a "risk enhancing factor" in the 2018 AHA/ACC/Multi-Society Cholesterol Guidelines, the TC/HDL-C ratio does not receive similar acknowledgment.^{8,9} Utilizing data from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study, a community-based prospective cohort primarily consisting of biracial middle-aged adults monitored for more than 20 years, we examined the influence of the TC/HDL-C ratio on assessing the risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) in cases where it was discordant with LDL-C and non-HDL-C levels, specifically among ARIC participants who were free of ASCVD at the outset.^{10,11,12}

References

1. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, Beam C, Birtcher KK, Blumenthal RS, Braun LT, de Ferranti S, Faiella-Tommasino J, Forman DE, Goldberg R, Heidenreich PA, Hlatky MA, Jones DW, Lloyd-Jones D, Lopez-Pajares N, Ndumele CE, Orringer CE, Peralta CA, Saseen JJ, Smith SC Jr, Sperling L, Virani SS, Yeboah J. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: Executive Summary. *Circulation* 2018; 11 10.
2. Jacobson TA, Ito MK, Maki KC, Orringer CE, Bays HE, Jones PH, McKenney JM, Grundy SM, Gill EA, Wild RA, Wilson DP, Brown WV. National Lipid Association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: part 1 - executive summary. *J Clin Lipidol* 2014; 8:473–88. [PubMed: 25234560].
3. Expert Dyslipidemia Panel of the International Atherosclerosis Society Panel m. An International Atherosclerosis Society Position Paper: global recommendations for the management of dyslipidemia--full report. *J Clin Lipidol* 2014; 8:29–60. [PubMed: 24528685]
4. Catapano AL, Graham I, De Backer G, Wiklund O, Chapman MJ, Drexel H, Hoes AW, Jennings CS, Landmesser U, Pedersen TR, Reiner Z, Riccardi G, Taskinen MR, Tokgozoglu L, Verschuren WM, Vlachopoulos C, Wood DA, Zamorano JL, Authors/Task Force M, Additional C. 2016 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidaemias. *Eur Heart J* 2016; 37:2999–3058. [PubMed: 27567407]
5. Sanin V, Pfetsch V, Koenig W. Dyslipidemias and Cardiovascular Prevention: Tailoring Treatment According to Lipid Phenotype. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2017; 19:61. [PubMed: 28528455]
6. Quispe R, Martin SS, Jones SR. Triglycerides to high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol ratio, glycemic control and cardiovascular risk in obese patients with type 2 diabetes. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 2016; 23:150–6. [PubMed: 26863278]
7. Gardner CD, Fortmann SP, Krauss RM. Association of small low-density lipoprotein particles with the incidence of coronary artery disease in men and women. *JAMA* 1996; 276:875–81. [PubMed: 8782636]
8. Mathews SC, Mallidi J, Kulkarni K, Toth PP, Jones SR. Achieving secondary prevention low-density lipoprotein particle concentration goals using lipoprotein cholesterol-based data. *PLoS One* 2012; 7:e33692. [PubMed: 22479428]
9. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Cook NR, Bradwin G, Buring JE. Non-HDL cholesterol, apolipoproteins A-I and B100, standard lipid measures, lipid ratios, and CRP as risk factors for cardiovascular disease in women. *JAMA* 2005; 294:326–33. [PubMed: 16030277]
10. McQueen MJ, Hawken S, Wang X, Ounpuu S, Sniderman A, Probstfield J, Steyn K, Sanderson JE, Hasani M, Volkova E, Kazmi K, Yusuf S, investigators Is. Lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins as risk markers of myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2008; 372:224–33. [PubMed: 18640459]
11. Mora S, Otvos JD, Rifai N, Rosenson RS, Buring JE, Ridker PM. Lipoprotein particle profiles by nuclear magnetic resonance compared with standard lipids and apolipoproteins in predicting incident cardiovascular disease in women. *Circulation* 2009; 119:931–9. [PubMed: 19204302]
12. Prospective Studies C, Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, Sherliker P, Emberson J, Halsey J, Qizilbash N, Peto R, Collins R. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. *Lancet* 2007; 370:1829–39. [PubMed: 18061058].